

2011 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL

scorecard

FIRST SESSION OF THE 112TH CONGRESS



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS | www.lcv.org

LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS *

JOHN H. ADAMS

Natural Resources Defense Council

PAUL AUSTIN

Conservation Minnesota & Conservation
Minnesota Voter Center

BRENT BLACKWELDER, HONORARY

Friends of the Earth

**THE HONORABLE SHERWOOD BOEHLERT,
VICE CHAIR**

The Accord Group

THE HONORABLE CAROL BROWNER

MARCIA BYSTRYN, SECRETARY

New York League of Conservation Voters

CARRIE CLARK

North Carolina League of Conservation Voters

MANNY DIAZ

Lydecker Diaz

GEORGE T. FRAMPTON, JR.

Covington & Burling, LLP

WADE GREENE, HONORARY

Rockefeller Family & Associates

LISA GUTHRIE

Virginia League of Conservation Voters

RAMPA R. HORMEL

Enlyst Fund

JOHN HUNTING, HONORARY

John Hunting & Associates

TOM KIERNAN, TREASURER

National Parks Conservation Association

MICHAEL KIESCHNICK

CREDO Mobile

PETER MANDELSTAM

WINSOME MCINTOSH, HONORARY

WILLIAM H. MEADOWS III

The Wilderness Society

JORGE MURSULI

SCOTT A. NATHAN, CHAIR

The Baupost Group, LLC

JOHN D. PODESTA

Center for American Progress

BILL ROBERTS

LARRY ROCKEFELLER

American Conservation Association

**THEODORE ROOSEVELT IV,
HONORARY CHAIR**

Barclays Capital

LAURA TURNER SEYDEL

Turner Foundation

MARYANNE TAGNEY-JONES

LYNDE B. UIHLEIN

Brico Fund, LLC

KATHLEEN WELCH

Corridor Partners, LLC

LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE *

BRENT BLACKWELDER

Friends of the Earth

MARCIA BYSTRYN

New York League of Conservation Voters

RUTH HENNIG

Fund for the Republic

WESLEY WARREN

Natural Resources Defense Council

LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE *

CAROL ANDRESS

Environmental Defense Fund

ANNA AURILIO

Environment America

MELANIE BELLER

The Wilderness Society

BRENDON CECHOVIC

Washington Conservation Voters

ROBERT DEWEY

Defenders of Wildlife

MAUREEN DROUIN

Maine League of Conservation Voters

JESSICA FEINGOLD-LIEBERSON

The Humane Society of the United States

MARTY HAYDEN

Earthjustice

DAVID JENKINS

Republicans for Environmental Protection

ADAM KOLTON

National Wildlife Federation

CRAIG LASHER

Population Action International

ELISE RUSSELL LIGUORI

National Parks Conservation Association

DAMON MOGLEN

Friends of the Earth

BRIAN MOORE

National Audubon Society

MELINDA PIERCE

Sierra Club

KERRY SCHUMANN

Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

CINDY SHOGAN

Alaska Wilderness League

SCOTT SLESINGER

Natural Resources Defense Council

KAREN STEUER

Pew Environment Group

ROBERT TEILHET

Georgia Conservation Voters

MARCHANT WENTWORTH

Union of Concerned Scientists

CONTENTS

1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 1st Session of the 112th Congress 2

Voting Summary 4

2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 7

Senate Votes 10

3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 16

House Votes 26

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day. LCV works to turn environmental values into national priorities.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all members of the first session of the 112th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which members of Congress should be scored. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, global warming, public health, public lands and wildlife conservation, and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and Scorecard Advisory Committee for their valuable input.

COVER PHOTO OF OILY BIRD BY RPEDRO RAMIREZ JR./USFWS.



2011 OVERVIEW

In 2011, the Republican leadership of the U.S. House of Representatives unleashed a truly breathtaking and unprecedented assault on the environment and public health, the breadth and depth of which have made the current House of Representatives the most anti-environmental in our nation's history. The 2011 *National Environmental Scorecard* is a sad testament to the radical nature of the first session of the 112th Congress in the House; this *Scorecard* includes so many votes that the House votes section spans two pages for the first time ever.

The 2011 *National Environmental Scorecard* includes 35 House votes, which is far more than have ever been included in any previous *Scorecard*. But to be clear: many others warranted inclusion and would have been included in a typical year. In fact, all told there were more than 200 House votes on the environment and public health in 2011. In many cases, only final passage votes are included here even though lawmakers voted on countless amendments with enormous environmental implications. With rare exception, amendments to improve anti-environmental bills failed, while amendments to make them even worse passed.

The good news is that while the House voted against the environment an unprecedented number of times, both the U.S. Senate and the Obama administration stood firm against the vast majority of these attacks. Indeed, not only did the nation's bedrock environmental protections emerge largely unscathed from 2011, the Obama administration also made major progress through administrative actions to protect our air and water.

The first session of the 112th Congress got off to a particularly appalling start with H.R. 1, the House Continuing Resolution spending bill, must-pass legislation to fund the federal government and avoid a shut down. Even before

it reached the House floor, H.R. 1 included sweeping assaults on the air we breathe, the water we drink, and the wildlife and wild places Americans hold dear; it also made drastic cuts to transit, energy efficiency, and renewable energy while protecting the profits of polluting industries. As if that weren't enough, members of Congress proceeded to offer a dizzying array of anti-environmental riders to H.R. 1 on the House floor. In light of what was at the time the most anti-environmental bill ever, the League of Conservation Voters took the extraordinary step of creating a Continuing Resolution Special Edition *National Environmental Scorecard*. Nine of those 25 votes are included here.

Even though H.R. 1 included more attacks on the environment and public health than usually occur in an entire year, the House Republican leadership was just getting started. An astounding number of attacks soon followed and were sustained over the course of the year. Indeed, the parade of horrible House votes in 2011 left virtually no aspect of the environment and public health untouched.

In the summer months alone, the House voted on numerous bills and amendments with major implications for our air, water, land, and wildlife. These include sweeping bills such as the FY12 House Interior and

Environment Appropriations bill, which was brought to the House floor with more than three dozen anti-environmental riders already included, thus replacing H.R. 1 as the single worst attack ever on the environment and public health. Fortunately, that bill was pulled from consideration and never passed the House. There were targeted attacks on environmental statutes, including the Clean Water Act, which threatened the quality and safety of the water Americans use for fishing, swimming, and drinking. There were bills to cut much-needed funding for energy efficiency, climate change adaptation, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Department of the Interior. Additionally, there was legislation to expedite a decision on the Keystone XL tar sands pipeline, a dangerous project that would transport the dirtiest oil on the planet right through the middle of the United States in order to export it. The House took many other anti-environmental votes during the summer as well.

As if the House had not yet done enough damage, Majority Leader Eric Cantor (R-VA) released a fall agenda just before the House returned from its August recess in which he laid out plans to gut ten so-called “job-killing regulations,” most of which are critically important to protecting public health and the environment. The House voted on the Cantor Agenda throughout the fall, passing an unprecedented nine abysmal bills between mid-September and early December. These included multiple rollback bills to prevent the EPA from enacting critical regulations under the Clean Air Act to protect public health and the environment, as well as to block specific rules to reduce mercury and other toxic air pollution from power plants, cement kilns, industrial boilers and incinerators.

Fortunately, the Senate stood in sharp contrast to the House in 2011. There are just 11 Senate votes included in the 2011 *National Environmental Scorecard*, and they include strong rebukes to House votes on key issues such as upholding new rules to reduce power plant pollution and defending the EPA’s ability to issue lifesaving public health protections.

While the Senate was able to block many of the most damaging bills passed by the House, the Obama administration also made clear its opposition to the House agenda, offering numerous strong statements that catering to polluters is not a jobs agenda. The Obama administration also made major progress through administrative action on the next round of fuel efficiency and global warming emissions standards for cars, the Cross State Air Pollution Rule and the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards to reduce power plant pollution, a delay of the harmful Keystone XL tar sands pipeline, and more. That is not to say there were not disappointments on the administrative side, including the decision to delay critically needed updated smog standards, but on balance the Obama administration accomplished a lot in 2011.


As we begin 2012, it could not be clearer that we stand at a crossroads when it comes to the kind of planet we will leave our children. LCV is grateful to the Obama administration, the Senate, allies in the House, and the millions of people across the country who helped to ensure that the House leadership did not succeed in gutting our nation’s cornerstone environmental and public health protections in 2011. We look forward to continuing to work together in 2012 and beyond to protect the planet for future generations.

VOTING SUMMARY



2011 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	14	21
Alaska	55	11
Arizona	9	33
Arkansas	45	16
California	100	60
Colorado	100	42
Connecticut	100	97
Delaware	100	94
Florida	55	31
Georgia	5	33
Hawaii	91	97
Idaho	9	9
Illinois	55	46
Indiana	0	29
Iowa	45	53
Kansas	0	6
Kentucky	14	35
Louisiana	50	20
Maine	59	91
Maryland	100	74
Massachusetts	68	94
Michigan	91	43
Minnesota	86	41
Mississippi	5	26
Missouri	45	37
Montana	91	9
Nebraska	27	15
Nevada	37	26
New Hampshire	55	29
New Jersey	100	67
New Mexico	100	65
New York	100	72
North Carolina	55	50
North Dakota	45	6
Ohio	45	35
Oklahoma	14	11
Oregon	100	73
Pennsylvania	50	38
Rhode Island	100	96
South Carolina	18	20
South Dakota	45	14
Tennessee	18	26
Texas	9	26
Utah	18	15
Vermont	95	97
Virginia	100	41
Washington	100	61
West Virginia	82	27
Wisconsin	50	39
Wyoming	9	11

SENATE



HOUSE



2011 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest Senate Delegations:

California 100% · Colorado 100% · Connecticut 100% · Delaware 100% · Maryland 100% · New Jersey 100% · New Mexico 100% · New York 100% · Oregon 100% · Rhode Island 100% · Virginia 100% · Washington 100%

Senate Scores of 100:

CALIFORNIA Boxer · Feinstein **COLORADO** Bennet · Udall, M.
CONNECTICUT Blumenthal · Lieberman **DELAWARE** Carper · Coons
FLORIDA Nelson, Bill **HAWAII** Akaka **MARYLAND** Cardin · Mikulski
MASSACHUSETTS Kerry **NEVADA** Reid, H. **NEW JERSEY** Lautenberg ·
Menendez **NEW MEXICO** Bingaman · Udall, T. **NEW YORK** Gillibrand
· Schumer **NORTH CAROLINA** Hagan **OREGON** Merkley · Wyden
RHODE ISLAND Reed, J. · Whitehouse **VERMONT** Sanders **VIRGINIA**
Warner · Webb **WASHINGTON** Cantwell · Murray **WEST VIRGINIA**
Rockefeller

Lowest Senate Delegations:

Indiana 0% · Kansas 0% · Georgia 5% · Mississippi 5% · Arizona
9% · Idaho 9% · Texas 9% · Wyoming 9%

Senate Scores of 0:

GEORGIA Chambliss **INDIANA** Coats · Lugar **IOWA** Grassley **KANSAS**
Moran, Jerry · Roberts **MISSISSIPPI** Wicker **MISSOURI** Blunt
NEBRASKA Johanns **NEVADA** Ensign **NORTH DAKOTA** Hoeven **OHIO**
Portman **SOUTH DAKOTA** Thune

2011 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest House Delegations:

Connecticut 97% · Hawaii 97% · Vermont 97% · Rhode Island
96% · Delaware 94% · Massachusetts 94% · Maine 91%

House Scores of 100:

ARIZONA Grijalva **CALIFORNIA** Chu · Hahn · Honda · Lee, B.
· Napolitano · Roybal-Allard · Woolsey **COLORADO** DeGette
FLORIDA Wasserman Schultz **GEORGIA** Lewis, John **MARYLAND**
Van Hollen **MICHIGAN** Clarke, H. **MISSOURI** Cleaver **NEW JERSEY**
Holt **NEW YORK** Bishop, T. · Clarke, Y. · Israel · Serrano **RHODE**
ISLAND Cicilline **VIRGINIA** Connolly · Moran, James · Scott, R.
WASHINGTON Inslee

Lowest House Delegations:

Kansas 6% · North Dakota 6% · Idaho 9% · Montana 9%

House Scores of Less than 10:

ALABAMA Bonner · Rogers, Mike D. **ARIZONA** Flake · Franks, T. ·
Quayle **ARKANSAS** Womack **CALIFORNIA** Calvert · Dreier · Gallegly
· Herger · Hunter · Issa · Lungren · McCarthy, K. · McClintock ·
Miller, Gary · Nunes · Rohrabacher · Royce **COLORADO** Coffman
· Lamborn **FLORIDA** Adams · Mack · Miller, J. · Nugent · Posey
· Ross, D. · Southerland **GEORGIA** Broun · Gingrey · Graves, T.
· Kingston · Price, T. · Scott, A. · Westmoreland, L. · Woodall
IDAHO Labrador **ILLINOIS** Roskam · Walsh **INDIANA** Burton ·
Pence · Rokita · Stutzman · Young, T. **IOWA** King, S. **KANSAS**
Huelskamp · Jenkins · Pompeo · Yoder **KENTUCKY** Davis, G. ·
Rogers, H. **LOUISIANA** Fleming · Landry, J. · Scalise **MARYLAND**
Bartlett · Harris **MICHIGAN** Amash · Benishek · Huizenga ·
Walberg **MINNESOTA** Bachmann · Kline, J. **MISSISSIPPI** Harper ·
Nunnelee · Palazzo **MISSOURI** Akin · Graves, S. · Hartzler · Long
· Luetkemeyer **MONTANA** Rehberg **NEBRASKA** Smith, Adrian
NEVADA Amodei · Heck · Heller **NEW JERSEY** Garrett **NEW MEXICO**
Pearce **NEW YORK** Buerkle · Turner, B. **NORTH CAROLINA** Ellmers
· Foxx · McHenry · Myrick **NORTH DAKOTA** Berg **OHIO** Chabot ·
Gibbs, B. · Johnson, B. · Jordan · Latta · Renacci **OKLAHOMA** Cole
· Lankford · Lucas · Sullivan **PENNSYLVANIA** Kelly · Pitts · Shuster
SOUTH CAROLINA Duncan · Gowdy · Mulvaney · Scott, T. · Wilson,
J. **TENNESSEE** Black, D. · Blackburn, M. · DesJarlais · Duncan ·
Fincher · Fleischmann · Roe **TEXAS** Brady, K. · Burgess · Canseco
· Carter · Conaway · Culberson · Farenthold · Gohmert · Hall, R.
· Hensarling · Johnson, S. · Marchant · McCaul · Neugebauer ·
Olson · Paul, Ron · Poe · Sessions, P. · Smith, Lamar · Thornberry
UTAH Bishop, R. · Chaffetz **WASHINGTON** Hastings, D. · McMorris
Rodgers **WISCONSIN** Duffy · Ribble · Ryan, P. · Sensenbrenner

RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Stabenow (MI)	91	Roberts (KS)	0
Appropriations	Inouye (HI)	82	Cochran (MS)	9
Commerce, Science and Transportation	Rockefeller (WV)	100	Hutchison (TX)	9
Energy and Natural Resources	Bingaman (NM)	100	Murkowski (AK)	18
Environment and Public Works	Boxer (CA)	100	Inhofe (OK)	18
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	95	RANKING MEMBERS	11

HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Lucas (OK-03)	9	Peterson (MN-07)	20
Appropriations	Rogers, Harold (KY-05)	9	Dicks (WA-06)	97
Energy and Commerce	Upton (MI-06)	17	Waxman (CA-30)	97
Natural Resources	Hastings, Doc (WA-04)	9	Markey (MA-07)	97
Science, Space, and Technology	Hall, Ralph (TX-04)	6	Johnson, Eddie Bernice (TX-30)	89
Transportation and Infrastructure	Mica (FL-07)	11	Rahall (WV-03)	51
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	10	RANKING MEMBERS	75

PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Reid (NV), Majority Leader	100	McConnell (KY), Minority Leader	9
Durbin (IL), Majority Whip	91	Kyl (AZ), Minority Whip	9
Schumer (NY), Conference Vice Chair	100	Alexander, Lamar (TN), Conference Chair	27
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	97	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	15

HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Pelosi (CA-08), Minority Leader	89	Boehner* (OH-08), Speaker of the House	N/A
Hoyer (MD-05), Minority Whip	97	Cantor (VA-07), Majority Leader	11
Clyburn (SC-06), Assistant Minority Leader	91	McCarthy, Kevin (CA-22), Majority Whip	9
Larson, John (CT-01), Caucus Chairman	97	Hensarling (TX-05), Conference Chairman	6
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	94	Price, Tom (GA-06), Policy Committee Chairman	9
		LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	9

* The Speaker of the House votes at his discretion.

2011 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1. SWEEPING ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING AND POLICY ASSAULT (H.R. 1)

H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, which at the time it passed the House was the greatest legislative assault ever on the environment and public health, included massive funding cuts and policy assaults on our air, water, wildlife and wild places. On February 19, the House passed H.R. 1 (House roll call vote 147). On March 9, the Senate rejected H.R. 1 by a vote of 44-56 (Senate roll call vote 36). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Obama administration and Senate leadership subsequently stood strong in negotiations with the House and insisted that most—but not all—of the anti-environmental riders from H.R. 1 be dropped before agreeing to the final compromise bill, H.R. 1473, which the president signed into law on April 15.

2. GLOBAL WARMING POLLUTION


Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-KY) offered an amendment to S. 493, small business reauthorization legislation officially titled the SBIR/STTR Reauthorization Act of 2011, to repeal the scientific finding by the EPA that greenhouse gases endanger human health and the environment, to permanently block the EPA from reducing greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act, and to undermine fuel economy standards. This radical amendment would jeopardize public health and the environment and increase our dangerous dependence on oil. On April 6, the Senate rejected three other anti-environmental amendments—sponsored by Senators Jay Rockefeller (D-WV), Debbie Stabenow (D-MI), and Max Baucus (D-MT)—to weaken or delay the EPA's ability to regulate greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act and then proceeded to reject the McConnell amendment by a vote of 50-50 (Senate roll call vote 54). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** On April 7, the House passed H.R. 910, which is identical to the McConnell amendment (House roll call vote 249). At the end of 2011, the EPA's ability to reduce greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act remained firmly intact.

3. OIL SUBSIDIES

Senator Robert Menendez (D-NJ) sponsored S. 940, the Close Big Oil Tax Loopholes Act, which would close tax loopholes for oil companies, since their outsized profits make it clear that they do not need taxpayer handouts. At a time when our fiscal situation is prompting cuts to critical programs, including environmental and clean energy investments, our government should not be subsidizing massive oil companies that reported profits of nearly \$1 trillion during the past decade. On May 17, the Senate failed to achieve the 60-vote threshold needed to pass a motion to proceed to the consideration of S. 940. The motion failed on a 52-48 vote (Senate roll call vote 72). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

4. OFFSHORE DRILLING

Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-KY) introduced S. 953, the deceptively titled Offshore Production and Safety Act of 2011, which would expand offshore drilling and make it more dangerous by enacting oversight standards that are weaker than those in place before the catastrophic 2010 BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill disaster in the Gulf of Mexico, which tragically killed 11 workers, wreaked havoc on Gulf coast businesses in the fishing and tourism industries, and damaged coastal and marine ecosystems. The bill would mandate numerous lease sales in the Gulf of Mexico and off Virginia's beaches, requiring the government to use outdated and inadequate environmental reviews in the processing of new leases, even though the reviews do not consider the impacts of a worst-case scenario like the BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill. The bill would also impose a rushed and arbitrary time period of just 30 days for the Interior Secretary to approve or deny



drilling permits, and it would grant automatic approval if no action is taken within 60 days. On May 18, the Senate rejected a motion to proceed to the consideration of S. 953 by a vote of 42-57 (Senate roll call vote 73). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

5. REGULATORY ROLLBACKS

Senator Olympia Snowe (R-ME) offered this amendment to S. 782, the Economic Development Revitalization Act of 2011, which would have severely undermined the regulatory process. This amendment would create several unnecessary new processes to complicate economic analyses of proposed rules and to ensure interagency consultation that is already conducted by the Office of Management and Budget. It would also require a lengthy periodic review process for rules at select agencies, including the EPA, and impose mandatory budget cuts if reviews are not conducted or are incomplete. Furthermore, this biased review process would look at rules' costs but not their benefits—including the environmental, health, food safety and other important benefits—which numerous third party analyses have found far outweigh their costs. On June 9, the Senate—in a 53-46 vote—failed to achieve the 60-vote threshold needed to pass the Snowe amendment (Senate roll call vote 87). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed several bills that would severely undermine the regulatory process and jeopardize vital public protections (including House roll call votes 880, 888, and 901); however, no such proposals have made it to the president's desk.

6. ENDING ETHANOL SUBSIDIES


Senators Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) and Tom Coburn (R-OK) sponsored this amendment to S. 782, the Economic Development Revitalization Act of 2011, to repeal wasteful incentives for the ethanol industry, including a tax subsidy for oil companies that blend ethanol into conventional gasoline and an ethanol import tariff. Conventional corn ethanol has far-reaching negative environmental impacts, including water pollution, global warming pollution, and habitat destruction, and is already mandated by the Renewable Fuel Standard. On June 16, the Senate adopted the Feinstein-Coburn amendment by a vote of 73-27 (Senate roll call vote 90). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The ethanol tax subsidy and import tariff expired at the end of 2011 and were not renewed by Congress.

7. GUTTING TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENTS

Senator Rand Paul (R-KY) offered this amendment to H.R. 2112, the FY12 Appropriations bill for the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Justice, Transportation, and Housing and Urban Development, to defund the Transportation Enhancements program. This proven program has been the largest source of federal funding for trails, walking, and biking for 20 years, and amounts to less than 2% of overall spending on surface transportation. Transportation currently accounts for more than two-thirds of our nation's annual oil use and roughly one-third of our global warming emissions, and this small program is critical for giving people access to cleaner transportation choices. On November 1, the Senate rejected the Paul amendment 38-60 (Senate roll call vote 190). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

8. BROAD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSAULT (S. 1786)

Senate Finance Committee Ranking Member Orrin Hatch (R-UT) sponsored S. 1786, the Long-Term Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, which is a sweeping and extreme assault on our govern-



ment's ability to protect the public from a wide range of threats, ranging from pollution to workplace safety. While framed as a transportation bill, this legislation actually includes S. 299, the REINS Act—a radical assault on our system of public protections—as well as a host of provisions undermining specific bedrock public health and environmental laws, including the Clean Air Act and the National Environmental Policy Act. The bill would stop the EPA from cleaning up harmful pollution such as mercury, soot, smog, arsenic, lead, and carbon dioxide from some of our nation's biggest polluters, including power plants, cement plants, and industrial boilers and incinerators. On November 2, the Senate rejected a motion to proceed to the consideration of S. 1786 by a vote of 47-53 (Senate roll call vote 196). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House has passed numerous pieces of legislation that are part of S. 1786, including H.R. 10—the House version of the REINS Act—on December 7 (House roll call vote 901).

9. CROSS-STATE AIR POLLUTION

Senator Rand Paul (R-KY) sponsored S.J. Res. 27, a Congressional Review Act resolution of disapproval to void the Cross-State Air Pollution rule, which will save lives and improve public health for millions of Americans. The Cross-State rule, which was finalized in July 2011, reduces dangerous smog and soot-forming pollution that is emitted from power plants and travels across state lines, and is estimated to save up to 34,000 lives and to prevent 400,000 asthma attacks, 15,000 heart attacks, and 1.8 million missed days at school or work each year, among other health benefits. On November 10, the Senate rejected S.J. Res 27 by a vote of 41-56 (Senate roll call vote 201). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House had previously passed legislation on September 23 to block the Cross-State rule as part of the TRAIN Act (House roll call vote 741). There were no further legislative attempts to block the Cross-State rule in 2011, but the D.C. Circuit Court temporarily stayed the Cross-State rule on December 30.

10. BROAD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSAULT (S. 1720)

Senate Armed Services Committee Ranking Member John McCain (R-AZ) sponsored S. 1720, the so-called Jobs Through Growth Act, an extreme assault on our government's ability to protect the environment and public health. While framed as a jobs bill, this legislation would prevent the EPA from cleaning up harmful pollution from some of the nation's biggest polluters, reduce oversight for drilling, open our precious public lands to harmful tar sands development by private companies, and prohibit California from ensuring that enough water is allocated to protect salmon and Delta smelt. On November 10, the Senate rejected S. 1720 by a vote of 40-56 (Senate roll call vote 202). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

11. UNDERMINING CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Senate Finance Committee Ranking Member Orrin Hatch (R-UT) introduced S.J. Res. 10, legislation to amend the Constitution to require a balanced budget every year, and to limit spending to 18% of U.S. gross domestic product. This legislation's draconian spending cap would undercut the federal government's abilities to meet its core commitments, including protecting our air, water, wildlife, and lands. Independent economic analysts projected catastrophic consequences if a balanced budget amendment were already in place for FY12. On December 14, the Senate rejected this resolution by a vote of 47-53 (Senate roll call vote 229). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House voted on a series of balanced budget amendments; however, no such proposals made it to the president's desk.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2011	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	2 Global Warming Pollution	3 Oil Subsidies	4 Offshore Drilling	5 Regulatory Rollbacks	6 Ending Ethanol Subsidies	7 Gutting Transportation Enhancements	8 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1786)	9 Cross-State Air Pollution	10 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1720)	11 Undermining Critical Environmental Programs
ALABAMA															
Sessions, J.	(R)	9	11	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	?	✗
Shelby	(R)	18	17	14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ALASKA															
Begich	(D)	91	78	83	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Murkowski	(R)	18	22	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
ARIZONA															
Kyl	(R)	9	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
McCain	(R)	9	11	22	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	?	?	✗
ARKANSAS															
Boozman	(R)	9	8	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Pryor	(D)	82	67	63	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CALIFORNIA															
Boxer	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Feinstein	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
COLORADO															
Bennet	(D)	100	76	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Udall, M.	(D)	100	83	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CONNECTICUT															
Blumenthal	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lieberman	(I)	100	83	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DELAWARE															
Carper	(D)	100	100	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Coons	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
FLORIDA															
Nelson, Bill	(D)	100	94	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rubio	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	2 Global Warming Pollution	3 Oil Subsidies	4 Offshore Drilling	5 Regulatory Rollbacks	6 Ending Ethanol Subsidies	7 Gutting Transportation Enhancements	8 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1786)	9 Cross-State Air Pollution	10 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1720)	11 Undermining Critical Environmental Programs
GEORGIA															
Chambliss	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Isakson	(R)	9	11	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
HAWAII															
Akaka	(D)	100	94	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Inouye	(D)	82	94	56	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
IDAHO															
Crapo	(R)	9	17	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Risch	(R)	9	17	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ILLINOIS															
Durbin	(D)	91	84	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kirk	(R)	18	68	64	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
INDIANA															
Coats	(R)	0		17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Lugar	(R)	0	11	25	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
IOWA															
Grassley	(R)	0	0	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Harkin	(D)	91	94	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KANSAS															
Moran, Jerry	(R)	0	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Roberts	(R)	0	11	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
KENTUCKY															
McConnell	(R)	9	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Paul, Rand	(R)	18		18	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
LOUISIANA															
Landrieu, M.	(D)	82	67	49	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Vitter	(R)	18	6	4	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES													
		% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	2 Global Warming Pollution	3 Oil Subsidies	4 Offshore Drilling	5 Regulatory Rollbacks	6 Ending Ethanol Subsidies	7 Gutting Transportation Enhancements	8 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1786)	9 Cross-State Air Pollution	10 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1720)	11 Undermining Critical Environmental Programs
MAINE															
Collins	(R)	55	39	66	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
Snowe	(R)	64	44	65	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
MARYLAND															
Cardin	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mikulski	(D)	100	100	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MASSACHUSETTS															
Brown, Scott	(R)	36	0	22	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
Kerry	(D)	100	94	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MICHIGAN															
Levin, C.	(D)	91	100	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Stabenow	(D)	91	100	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MINNESOTA															
Franken	(D)	91	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Klobuchar	(D)	82	94	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MISSISSIPPI															
Cochran	(R)	9	17	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
Wicker	(R)	0	11	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MISSOURI															
Blunt	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
McCaskill	(D)	91	72	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MONTANA															
Baucus, M.	(D)	91	78	68	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Tester	(D)	91	83	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEBRASKA															
Johanns	(R)	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Nelson, Ben	(D)	55	33	42	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	2 Global Warming Pollution	3 Oil Subsidies	4 Offshore Drilling	5 Regulatory Rollbacks	6 Ending Ethanol Subsidies	7 Gutting Transportation Enhancements	8 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1786)	9 Cross-State Air Pollution	10 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1720)	11 Undermining Critical Environmental Programs
NEVADA															
Ensign*	(R)	0	6	26	✗	✗	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪
Heller*	(R)	11	8	14	⓪	⓪	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Reid, H.	(D)	100	100	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW HAMPSHIRE															
Ayotte	(R)	18		18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Shaheen	(D)	91	89	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW JERSEY															
Lautenberg	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Menendez	(D)	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW MEXICO															
Bingaman	(D)	100	89	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Udall, T.	(D)	100	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW YORK															
Gillibrand	(D)	100	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Schumer	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH CAROLINA															
Burr	(R)	9	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
Hagan	(D)	100	78	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH DAKOTA															
Conrad	(D)	91	72	58	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Hoeven	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OHIO															
Brown, Sherrod	(D)	91	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Portman	(R)	0		23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Senator Heller was sworn in on May 9 following the resignation of Senator Ensign on May 3.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2011	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	2 Global Warming Pollution	3 Oil Subsidies	4 Offshore Drilling	5 Regulatory Rollbacks	6 Ending Ethanol Subsidies	7 Gutting Transportation Enhancements	8 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1786)	9 Cross-State Air Pollution	10 Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1720)	11 Undermining Critical Environmental Programs
OKLAHOMA															
Coburn	(R)	9	6	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Inhofe	(R)	18	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
OREGON															
Merkley	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Wyden	(D)	100	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PENNSYLVANIA															
Casey	(D)	91	94	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Toomey	(R)	9		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
RHODE ISLAND															
Reed, J.	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Whitehouse	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA															
DeMint	(R)	27	6	8	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Graham	(R)	9	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
SOUTH DAKOTA															
Johnson, Tim	(D)	91	89	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Thune	(R)	0	0	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
TENNESSEE															
Alexander, L.	(R)	27	17	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
Corker	(R)	9	11	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
TEXAS															
Cornyn	(R)	9	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Hutchison	(R)	9	11	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
UTAH															
Hatch	(R)	9	11	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Lee, M.	(R)	27		27	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Global Warming Pollution	Oil Subsidies	Offshore Drilling	Regulatory Rollbacks	Ending Ethanol Subsidies	Gutting Transportation Enhancements	Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1786)	Cross-State Air Pollution	Broad Environmental Assault (S. 1720)	Undermining Critical Environmental Programs
VERMONT															
Leahy	(D)	91	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sanders	(I)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
VIRGINIA															
Warner	(D)	100	94	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Webb	(D)	100	83	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WASHINGTON															
Cantwell	(D)	100	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Murray	(D)	100	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WEST VIRGINIA															
Manchin	(D)	64	0	58	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
Rockefeller	(D)	100	72	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WISCONSIN															
Johnson, R.	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Kohl	(D)	91	89	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WYOMING															
Barrasso	(R)	9	11	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Enzi	(R)	9	11	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2011 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1. LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

Representative Cynthia Lummis (R-WY) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to cut by 90% the bill's already minimal allocation for the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF), which is funded by oil royalties and helps expand protected lands and fund local projects such as city parks. The LWCF has provided critical funding for some of the most cherished places in our nation, from the Grand Canyon to Gettysburg National Military Park to Mount Rainier National Park. On February 16, the House rejected the Lummis amendment by a vote of 213-216 (House roll call vote 61). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

2. HOLDING GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABLE

Representative Cynthia Lummis (R-WY) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to block implementation of the Equal Access to Justice Act, a critical statute signed into law by President Ronald Reagan. The law, which gives Americans the right to recover attorney fees if they prevail in court, has helped hold federal agencies accountable when they violate environmental, health, and safety laws. On February 17, the House adopted the Lummis amendment by a vote of 232-197 (House roll call vote 85). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Fortunately, the language in this amendment was not included in the compromise appropriations bill that funded the government through the end of FY11, H.R. 1473, which the president signed into law on April 15.

3. OFFSHORE DRILLING SUBSIDIES

House Natural Resources Committee Ranking Member Ed Markey (D-MA) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to eliminate up to \$53 billion in taxpayer subsidies by closing a royalty payment loophole for oil companies operating offshore. At a time when many Americans are struggling to make ends meet, this amendment would prevent some of the world's biggest and most profitable oil companies from drilling for free on publicly owned resources. On February 18, the House rejected the Markey amendment by a vote of 174-251 (House roll call vote 109). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

4. OFF-ROAD VEHICLES IN NATIONAL FORESTS

Representative Wally Herger (R-CA) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to halt implementation of off-road vehicle management plans in our national forests. During the George W. Bush administration, the Forest Service named unmanaged off-road vehicle use as one of the four greatest threats to our forests and grasslands. On February 18, the House adopted the Herger amendment by a vote of 227-197 (House roll call vote 113). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Fortunately, the language in this amendment was not included in the compromise appropriations bill that funded the government through the end of FY11, H.R. 1473, which the president signed into law on April 15.

5. THE CHESAPEAKE BAY

Representative Bob Goodlatte (R-VA) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to block efforts to continue the clean-up of the treasured Chesapeake Bay. It would prohibit funding to implement measures that limit the amount of allowable pollution in waters that wind up in the bay. On February 18, the House adopted the Goodlatte amendment by a vote of 230-195 (House roll call vote 120). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Fortunately, the language in this amendment was not included in the compromise appropriations bill that funded the government through the end of FY11, H.R. 1473, which the president signed into law on April 15.

6. FLORIDA'S WATER QUALITY

Representative Tom Rooney (R-FL) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to block the EPA from implementing new water quality standards to prevent toxic green slime from polluting Florida's lakes, rivers, and streams—rules that were issued in November 2010. This amendment would also halt public education about protecting waters from excess pollution from sewage and fertilizer, and set a dangerous precedent for efforts to reduce water contamination across the country, including in the Mississippi River basin and the Gulf of Mexico. On February 18, the House adopted the Rooney amendment by a vote of 237-189 (House roll call vote 123). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Fortunately, the language in this amendment was not included in the compromise appropriations bill that funded the government through the end of FY11, H.R. 1473, which the president signed into law on April 15.

7. CLEAN WATER SAFEGUARDS

Representative David McKinley (R-WV) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to prevent the EPA from continuing to use its authority under the Clean Water Act to prohibit or restrict projects that would have an “unacceptable adverse effect” on water, fish, and wildlife. The EPA has reserved this authority for extraordinarily environmentally-destructive projects, using it only 13 times in the entire 39-year history of the Clean Water Act. On February 19, the House adopted the McKinley amendment by a vote of 240-182 (House roll call vote 135). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Fortunately, the language in this amendment was not included in the compromise appropriations bill that funded the government through the end of FY11, H.R. 1473, which the president signed into law on April 15.

8. NATIONAL MONUMENTS

Then-Representative Dean Heller (R-NV) offered this amendment to H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, to eliminate the president's powers under the Antiquities Act to designate new national monuments. The Antiquities Act, signed by President Theodore Roosevelt, gives presidents the authority to protect federal lands for the benefit of future generations. Presidents from both parties have used the authority to designate national monuments. On February 19, the House rejected the Heller amendment by a vote of 209-213 (House roll call vote 139). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



9. SWEEPING ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING AND POLICY ASSAULT (H.R. 1)

House Appropriations Committee Chairman Hal Rogers sponsored H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, which at the time of House passage was the greatest legislative assault ever on the environment and public health, including massive funding cuts and policy assaults on our air, water, wildlife, and wild places. On February 19, the House passed H.R. 1 by a vote of 235-189 (House roll call vote 147). On March 9, the Senate rejected H.R. 1 (Senate roll call vote 36). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Obama administration and Senate leadership subsequently stood strong in negotiations with the House and insisted that most—but not all—of the anti-environmental riders from H.R. 1 be dropped before agreeing to the final compromise bill, H.R. 1473, which the president signed into law on April 15.

10. PESTICIDES POLLUTION

Representative Bob Gibbs (R-OH) sponsored H.R. 872, the so-called Reducing Regulatory Burdens Act of 2011, to prevent the EPA from protecting our waterways from the discharge of toxic pesticides that can contaminate drinking water, severely harm fish, and work their way up the food chain. More than 1,000 U.S. waterways are already impaired by pesticides. This legislation would remove the EPA's tools under the Clean Water Act to control this pollution, instead relying on a weak federal pesticides law that demonstrably fails to protect our waters now. On March 31, the House passed a motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 872 by a vote of 292-130 (House roll call vote 206). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** H.R. 872 was reported out of the Senate Agriculture Committee on June 21, but the full Senate has taken no action on this legislation.

11. GLOBAL WARMING POLLUTION

House Energy & Commerce Committee Chairman Fred Upton (R-MI) crafted H.R. 910, the so-called Energy Tax Prevention Act of 2011, to legislatively repeal a scientific finding by the EPA that greenhouse gases endanger human health and the environment, to permanently block the EPA from regulating greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act, and to undermine fuel economy standards. This harmful bill would jeopardize public health and the environment and increase the nation's dangerous dependence on oil. On April 7, the House passed H.R. 910 by a vote of 255-172 (House roll call vote 249). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Just one day earlier, on April 6, the Senate rejected four amendments to weaken, delay, or block the EPA's ability to reduce greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act, including the McConnell amendment (Senate roll call vote 54) that was identical to H.R. 910. At the end of 2011, the EPA's ability to regulate greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act remained firmly intact.

12. OFFSHORE DRILLING SAFETY REFORMS

House Natural Resources Committee Ranking Member Ed Markey (D-MA) offered this amendment to H.R. 1229, the so-called Putting the Gulf of Mexico Back to Work Act, to implement basic offshore drilling safety reforms recommended by the independent commission tasked with investigating the causes of the 2010 BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill and recommending oversight reforms. The amendment would result in common-sense reforms that still have not been codified into law following

the greatest environmental disaster in our nation's history. On May 10, the House rejected the Markey amendment by a vote of 176-237 (House roll call vote 301). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

13. WEAKENING OFFSHORE DRILLING OVERSIGHT

House Natural Resources Committee Chairman Doc Hastings (R-WA) sponsored H.R. 1229, the so-called Putting the Gulf of Mexico Back to Work Act, which would expand offshore drilling and make it more dangerous by enacting oversight standards that are weaker than those that were in place before the catastrophic 2010 Gulf oil spill disaster, which tragically killed 11 workers, wreaked havoc on the Gulf Coast's fishing and tourism industries, and damaged coastal and marine ecosystems. The legislation would impose a rushed and arbitrary time period of just 30 days for the Interior Secretary to approve or deny drilling permits, and it would grant automatic approval if no action is taken within 60 days. On May 11, the House passed H.R. 1229 by a vote of 263-163 (House roll call vote 309). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** While the Senate has taken no formal action on H.R. 1229, much of the bill's language was included in S. 953, the so-called Offshore Production and Safety Act of 2011, which the Senate rejected on a procedural vote on May 18 (Senate roll call vote 73).

14. OFFSHORE DRILLING EXPANSION


House Natural Resources Committee Chairman Doc Hastings (R-WA) sponsored H.R. 1231, the Reversing President Obama's Offshore Moratorium Act, a radical piece of legislation that would throw open most of America's coastline to dangerous offshore drilling. It would ensure that drilling occurs off the beaches of the entire East Coast down to North Carolina, off the Southern California coast, and in the Arctic Ocean and Alaska's salmon-rich Bristol Bay—regardless of whether a state objects to such drilling. On May 12, the House passed H.R. 1231 by a vote of 243-179 (House roll call vote 320). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has taken no action on this legislation.

15. BORDER FENCE ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION

Representative Cynthia Lummis (R-WY) offered this amendment to H.R. 2017, the FY12 Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Appropriations bill, to remove a critical provision that would have allowed the transfer of funds from the DHS to the Department of the Interior to mitigate the adverse environmental impacts associated with border security projects. These projects include the construction of a border fence, which slices through national monuments, wildlife refuges, and other critical public lands, and threatens numerous imperiled species—such as jaguars, ocelots, bighorn sheep, and Sonoran pronghorn—by fragmenting their natural habitat. On June 2, the House adopted the Lummis amendment by a vote of 238-177 (House roll call vote 391). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Regrettably, the language authorizing the transfer of funds was not included in the compromise FY12 omnibus appropriations bill, H.R. 2055, which the president signed into law on December 23.

16. CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION

Representative Steve Scalise (R-LA) offered this amendment to H.R. 2112, the FY12 Agriculture Appropriations bill, to bar the Department of Agriculture from implementing its climate change adaptation plan. Our nation's food sources and forests are threatened by the increased severity and



variability of climate and weather-related events. The Agriculture Department is working with farmers, the agriculture industry, and forest managers to prepare for these threats and to develop better farming and forestry practices to help reduce the negative impacts of climate change. On June 16, the House adopted the Scalise amendment by a vote of 238-179 (House roll call vote 448). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Fortunately, the language in this amendment was not included in the compromise appropriations bill that funded the Department of Agriculture and several other departments through the end of FY12, H.R. 2112, which the president signed into law on November 18.

17. OFFSHORE DRILLING AIR POLLUTION


Representative Cory Gardner (R-CO) sponsored H.R. 2021, the so-called Jobs and Energy Permitting Act of 2011, which would allow oil companies to sidestep critical Clean Air Act protections and avoid common-sense air pollution limits on their offshore drilling operations. The bill would exempt these companies from requirements to apply available pollution control technology to vessels involved in offshore drilling, and waive permit reviews by the EPA's Environmental Appeals Board. On June 22, the House passed H.R. 2021 by a vote of 253-166 (House roll call vote 478). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Unfortunately, language with a similar goal of giving oil companies a free pass to pollute was included in the compromise FY12 omnibus appropriations bill, H.R. 2055, which the president signed into law on December 23. This language moves responsibility for Arctic offshore drilling air pollution permitting from the EPA to the Department of the Interior—in effect, exempting the industry from the Clean Air Act's health-based national ambient air quality standards and the Act's program to prevent significant deterioration of air quality.

18. CLEAN WATER PROTECTIONS

Representative James Moran (D-VA) offered this amendment to H.R. 2354, the FY12 Energy and Water Appropriations bill, to strike a provision in the underlying bill prohibiting the EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers from restoring longstanding Clean Water Act protections for small streams, headwaters, and wetlands that Americans depend on for swimming, fishing, and drinking, as well as for protection against flooding. On July 12, the House rejected the Moran amendment by a vote of 170-250 (House roll call vote 540). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** While the Moran amendment was defeated, the anti-environmental provision it sought to strike was fortunately not included in the compromise FY12 omnibus appropriations bill, H.R. 2055, which the president signed into law on December 23.

19. FLOOD INSURANCE REFORM

Representative Judy Biggert (R-IL) sponsored H.R. 1309, the Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2011, which would take a much-needed step toward reforming the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and protecting sensitive environmental habitats. While the existing NFIP provides flood insurance at a significantly discounted rate—thereby creating a market incentive that has encouraged development and destruction of floodplains—H.R. 1309 would remedy this by increasing current rates to market-based levels. The bill would also create a \$90 million per year mitigation fund to help relocate communities out of floodplains, and create a Technical Mapping Advisory Council that



would, among other things, ensure that changing weather and altered hydrology are included in the assessment of risk. On July 12, the House passed H.R. 1309 by a vote of 406-22 (House roll call vote 562). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate Banking committee unanimously reported a companion bill, S. 1940, on December 15, which is currently awaiting consideration by the full Senate.

20. LIGHT BULB ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

Representative Joe Barton (R-TX) introduced H.R. 2417, the deceptively titled Better Use of Light Bulbs Act, which would eliminate common-sense energy efficiency standards for light bulbs. These standards passed with strong bipartisan and industry support and were signed into law by President George W. Bush in 2007. H.R. 2417 would roll back the financial and public health benefits of these standards, which will provide billions of dollars in savings for American families and energy savings equivalent to the energy provided by 30 large power plants, reducing harmful pollution. On July 12, a House vote to suspend the rules and pass the bill with a two-thirds majority failed on a 233-193 vote (House roll call vote 563). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Unfortunately, language that prohibits the Department of Energy from using funding to implement or enforce the light bulb energy efficiency standards was included in the compromise FY12 omnibus appropriations bill, H.R. 2055, which the president signed into law on December 23.

21. DIRTY WATER ACT

House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee Chairman John Mica (R-FL) sponsored H.R. 2018, the misnamed Clean Water Cooperative Federalism Act of 2011, which is a blatant assault on the Clean Water Act and on waterways Americans depend on for swimming, fishing, and drinking. Despite overwhelming public support for clean water, the bill would cripple the EPA's ability to set a minimum "floor" for a state's water quality standards, blocking the agency's ability to limit pollution flowing downstream to other waters and also into other states. Further, the bill would allow the destruction of critical water habitat and gut the Clean Water Act provisions that protect streams, wetlands, and other bodies of water from harmful large-scale mining and other destructive projects. On July 13, the House passed H.R. 2018 by a vote of 239-184 (House roll call vote 573). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has taken no action on this legislation.

22. GULF COAST RESTORATION

Representative Steve Scalise (R-LA) offered this amendment to H.R. 2354, the FY12 Energy and Water Appropriations bill, to boost funding by \$1 million for coastal Louisiana restoration projects by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The amendment would allow for the start of new projects to help restore the wetlands, fish, and wildlife of the Gulf Coast region, which have been degraded over the years by agricultural run-off, hurricanes, and disasters like the 2010 BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill. On July 15, the House adopted the Scalise amendment by a vote of 271-148 (House roll call vote 590). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Unfortunately, the language in the Scalise amendment was not included in the compromise FY12 omnibus appropriations bill, H.R. 2055, which the president signed into law on December 23.

23. ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

Representative Paul Broun (R-GA) offered this amendment to H.R. 2354, the FY12 Energy and Water Appropriations bill, to eliminate funding for the Department of Energy's energy efficiency and renewable energy programs. Investing in these technologies creates jobs, saves consumers money on their energy bills, and reduces harmful pollution associated with dirty energy production. On July 15, the House rejected the Broun amendment by a vote of 69-354 (House roll call vote 591). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

24. ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING

Representative Tim Huelskamp (R-KS) offered this amendment to H.R. 2584, the FY12 Interior and Environment Appropriations bill, to cut spending in the bill by over \$3 billion, a more than 10% cut from the underlying bill, which already represents a major spending reduction from the previous year's bill. The amendment would drastically slash spending from a slew of critical conservation agencies such as the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Park Service, as well as from environmental programs such as the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds. On July 25, the House rejected the Huelskamp amendment by a vote of 126-284 (House roll call vote 633). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

25. KEYSTONE XL TAR SANDS PIPELINE

Representative Lee Terry (R-NE) sponsored H.R. 1938, the misnamed North American-Made Energy Security Act, to rush a decision on whether to grant a presidential permit to build the Keystone XL pipeline across six states in order to carry tar sands—the dirtiest oil on the planet—from Canada's boreal forest to an international shipping port in Texas. This pipeline would threaten the environment with far more global warming pollution than conventional crude oil and jeopardize surrounding communities, ecosystems, and watersheds. On July 26, the House passed H.R. 1938 by a vote of 279-147 (House roll call vote 650). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** On November 10, the Obama administration announced that it intended to conduct additional review and would not make a final decision on the permit until the first quarter of 2013. Republican leaders in Congress subsequently insisted on including language to force a decision within 60 days in H.R. 3765, the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011, which the president signed into law on December 23. The Obama administration rejected the permit on January 18, 2012.

26. ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

House Appropriations Committee Ranking Member Norm Dicks (D-WA) and Representative Mike Fitzpatrick (R-PA) offered this amendment to H.R. 2584, the FY12 Interior and Environment Appropriations bill, to strike a rider in the underlying bill prohibiting the Fish and Wildlife Service from spending any money to list new plants and animals under the Endangered Species Act, designate critical habitat, or upgrade species from threatened to endangered. This Extinction Rider—a direct assault on the Endangered Species Act, which has prevented the extinction of listed species such as the American alligator and the grizzly bear—would boost the risk of extinction for hundreds of imperiled plants and animals, and it would immediately block life-saving protections for more than 260

“candidate species” that are currently awaiting listing decisions, such as the wolverine, Rio Grande cutthroat trout, and Pacific walrus. On July 27, the House adopted the Dicks-Fitzpatrick amendment by a vote of 224-202 (House roll call vote 652). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

27. GUTTING PUBLIC HEALTH BASIS OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT

Representative Bob Latta (R-OH) offered this amendment to H.R. 2401, the deceptively titled Transparency in Regulatory Analysis of Impacts on the Nation Act of 2011 (TRAIN Act), to eliminate a central underpinning of the Clean Air Act—affirmed by the U.S. Supreme Court in 2001—that clean air standards must be based on what public health science tells us is safe to breathe. This amendment would require the EPA to define healthy air based on the “feasibility and cost” to polluting industries, and would compel the EPA to accept standards that fail to protect public health. On September 23, the House adopted the Latta amendment by a vote of 227-192 (House roll call vote 738). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has taken no action on legislation containing language from the Latta amendment.

28. ASSAULT ON THE CLEAN AIR ACT

Representative John Sullivan (R-OK) introduced H.R. 2401, the deceptively titled Transparency in Regulatory Analysis of Impacts on the Nation Act of 2011 (TRAIN Act), requiring duplicative, biased, and unnecessary analyses of the costs—but not the benefits—of several EPA public health safeguards. Moreover, through last minute amendments adopted in committee and on the House floor, H.R. 2401 grew into the single biggest assault on the Clean Air Act in its more than 40-year history. This bill would allow for the indefinite delay of two life-saving clean air safeguards (the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule and the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards for power plants), meaning tens of thousands of lives would be lost and hundreds of thousands more asthma attacks would occur from increased air pollution. The bill would also require any future standards to be based on the most polluting power plants and incorporate the Latta amendment (House roll call vote 738) to eliminate the health-based underpinning of the Clean Air Act. On September 23, the House passed H.R. 2401 by a vote of 249-169 (House roll call vote 741). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has not acted on companion legislation, but on November 10, it rejected a Congressional Review Act resolution of disapproval that would have voided the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, which is one of the two rules targeted by H.R. 2401 (Senate roll call vote 201).

29. TOXIC AIR POLLUTION FROM CEMENT PLANTS

Representative John Sullivan (R-OK) sponsored H.R. 2681, the so-called Cement Sector Regulatory Relief Act of 2011, which would toss out already-finalized standards to clean up mercury and other toxic air pollution from cement plants, the second largest industrial emitters of mercury pollution, which can impair a child’s ability to think, talk, read, write, and learn. The bill would delay a new set of standards for at least four and a half years while eliminating any deadline by which cement plants are required to comply with the standards. Every year the standards are delayed would mean an additional 2,500 premature deaths due to cement plants’ harmful emissions. On October 6, the House passed H.R. 2681 by a vote of 262-161 (House roll call vote 764). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has taken no action on this legislation.



30. TOXIC AIR POLLUTION FROM BOILERS

Representative Morgan Griffith (R-VA) sponsored H.R. 2250, the so-called EPA Regulatory Relief Act of 2011, which would indefinitely delay long-overdue air pollution control standards for industrial boilers and incinerators, which act as small, in-house power plants and emit toxic air pollution including mercury—a potent neurotoxin especially dangerous to pregnant women and children—and cancer-causing dioxins. These facilities are the nation’s third largest source of mercury emissions. Every year these standards are delayed would mean up to an additional 8,100 premature deaths, 52,000 asthma attacks, and 5,100 heart attacks. On October 13, the House passed H.R. 2250 by a vote of 275-142 (House roll call vote 791). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has taken no action on H.R. 2250, though numerous Senate bills have been introduced containing language attacking toxic air pollution standards for industrial boilers, including S. 1786, which the Senate rejected on November 3 (Senate roll call vote 196).

31. COAL ASH POLLUTION


Representative David McKinley (R-WV) sponsored H.R. 2273, the Coal Residuals Reuse and Management Act, which would fail to protect the health of communities from the dangers of coal ash pollution. Coal ash, the toxic byproduct of burning coal that’s laden with arsenic, lead, and other heavy metals, is stored across the country in nearly 700 largely unregulated sludge impoundments. It can be catastrophic when these sludge impoundments fail—such as when more than one billion gallons of ash spilled across 300 acres after a Tennessee dam failed in 2008—and dangerous chemicals can leach from them into water Americans count on. Yet this bill would afford communities fewer protections from these toxic sludge ponds than they currently receive from their local household garbage landfill. On October 14, the House passed H.R. 2273 by a vote of 267-144 (House roll call vote 800). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has taken no action on this legislation.

32. HARD ROCK MINING

Representative Paul Gosar (R-AZ) introduced H.R. 1904, the deceptively titled Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act, which would transfer lands within the Tonto National Forest to a mining corporation in exchange for the government receiving lower-quality private lands owned by the corporation. The legislation would allow the land exchange to occur without proper environmental review for the large-scale mining operations planned for the area, which is considered a sacred site by Native American tribes and is renowned for its natural beauty. On October 26, the House adopted H.R. 1904 by a vote of 235-186 (House roll call vote 809). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has taken no action on this legislation.

33. CONTROLLING AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES

House Rules Committee Ranking Member Louise Slaughter (D-NY) introduced this amendment to H.R. 2838, the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2011, to strike a section of the bill that would eliminate the tools used by federal and state officials to limit the introduction of aquatic invasive species from ballast water, which is carried by vessels to add weight and provide stability.



Aquatic invasive species are found in states across the country, but they can be particularly problematic in the freshwater Great Lakes, where ballast water discharges are responsible for the introduction of more than 30% of the more than 185 invasive species that are currently disrupting the food chain, fouling beaches, and harming infrastructure in the region. On November 4, the House rejected the Slaughter amendment by a vote of 161-237 (House roll call vote 836). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 2838 with the harmful ballast water provisions, while the Senate Commerce Committee on November 2 reported its own Coast Guard authorization bill, S. 1665, which does not include the harmful ballast water provisions. The full Senate has not yet taken action on S. 1655.

34. REGULATORY ROLLBACKS (H.R. 3010)

House Judiciary Committee Chairman Lamar Smith (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 3010, the Regulatory Accountability Act, sweeping legislation that would cripple the rulemaking process by further empowering special interests to effectively stop federal agencies from moving forward with setting basic minimum protections, such as those for Americans' health and safety. It would override the implementation of existing laws such as the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the Occupational Safety & Health Act. The bill would add more than 60 additional analyses to an already lengthy and complicated rulemaking process and expands judicial review, thus inviting increased litigation. On December 2, the House passed H.R. 3010 by a vote of 253-167 (House roll call vote 888). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate has not acted on identical companion legislation; however, on three separate occasions, the Senate rejected proposals that would severely undermine the regulatory process (Senate roll call votes 87, 196, and 202).

35. REGULATORY ROLLBACKS (H.R. 10)

Representative Geoff Davis (R-KY) introduced H.R. 10, the misnamed Regulations from the Executive in Need of Scrutiny Act of 2011 (REINS Act), to require that all major rules receive a joint resolution of approval by Congress, without change, within 70 legislative days. This unnecessary and radical legislation would effectively allow just one chamber of Congress to block enforcement of existing statutory protections ranging from clean air to worker safety. That is a recipe for preventing any new safeguards from being implemented, no matter how necessary they are to protect Americans from emerging threats to their health and safety. On December 7, the House passed H.R. 10 by a vote of 241-184 (House roll call vote 901). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** While the Senate has taken no formal action on H.R. 10, the upper chamber twice rejected this proposal when it was included in larger bills (Senate roll call votes 196 and 202).

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2011	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Land & Water Conservation Fund	2 Holding Government Accountable	3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies	4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	5 The Chesapeake Bay	6 Florida's Water Quality	7 Clean Water Safeguards	8 National Monuments	9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	10 Pesticides Pollution	11 Global Warming Pollution	12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	14 Offshore Drilling Expansion	
ALABAMA																				
1	Bonner	(R)	9	8	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Roby	(R)	11		11	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Rogers, Mike D.	(R)	9	13	12	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Aderholt	(R)	11	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Brooks	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Bachus, S.	(R)	11	8	9	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Sewell	(D)	80		80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
ALASKA																				
AL	Young, D.	(R)	11	17	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
ARIZONA																				
1	Gosar	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Franks, T.	(R)	3	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Quayle	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Pastor	(D)	94	100	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Schweikert	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Flake	(R)	9	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Grijalva	(D)	100	92	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Giffords	(D)	N/A	92	89	ABSENT FOR ALL VOTES DUE TO HER ONGOING RECOVERY.														
ARKANSAS																				
1	Crawford	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Griffin	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Womack	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Ross, M.	(D)	31	71	48	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
CALIFORNIA																				
1	Thompson, M.	(D)	91	96	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Herger	(R)	3	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lungren	(R)	9	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	McClintock	(R)	6	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Matsui	(D)	97	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

15 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation

16 Climate Change Adaptation

17 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution

18 Clean Water Protections

19 Flood Insurance

20 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards

21 Dirty Water Act

22 Gulf Restoration

23 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

24 Environmental Funding

25 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline

26 Endangered Species Act

27 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act

28 Assault on the Clean Air Act

29 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants

30 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers

31 Coal Ash Pollution

32 Hard Rock Mining

33 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species

34 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)

35 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)

X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

X	X	?	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	?	?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

ABSENT FOR ALL VOTES DUE TO HER ONGOING RECOVERY.

X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓

✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Land & Water Conservation Fund	2 Holding Government Accountable	3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies	4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	5 The Chesapeake Bay	6 Florida's Water Quality	7 Clean Water Safeguards	8 National Monuments	9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	10 Pesticides Pollution	11 Global Warming Pollution	12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	14 Offshore Drilling Expansion
6	Woolsey	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Miller, George	(D)	97	92	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Pelosi	(D)	89	n/a	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Lee, B.	(D)	100	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Garamendi	(D)	97	92	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	McNerney	(D)	94	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Speier	(D)	91	92	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
13	Stark	(D)	86	75	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Eshoo	(D)	94	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Honda	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Lofgren	(D)	94	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Farr	(D)	97	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Cardoza	(D)	40	92	65	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
19	Denham	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Costa	(D)	31	75	57	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
21	Nunes	(R)	9	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	McCarthy, K.	(R)	9	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Capps	(D)	94	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Gallegly	(R)	6	4	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	McKeon	(R)	11	8	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Dreier	(R)	9	8	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Sherman	(D)	97	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Berman	(D)	97	96	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Schiff	(D)	97	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Waxman	(D)	97	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Becerra	(D)	97	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
32	Chu	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
33	Bass, K.	(D)	94		94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34	Roybal-Allard	(D)	100	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Issue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	
15 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16 Climate Change Adaptation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18 Clean Water Protections	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19 Flood Insurance	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21 Dirty Water Act	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22 Gulf Restoration	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24 Environmental Funding	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
25 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26 Endangered Species Act	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28 Assault on the Clean Air Act	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31 Coal Ash Pollution	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
32 Hard Rock Mining	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
33 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Land & Water Conservation Fund	2 Holding Government Accountable	3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies	4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	5 The Chesapeake Bay	6 Florida's Water Quality	7 Clean Water Safeguards	8 National Monuments	9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	10 Pesticides Pollution	11 Global Warming Pollution	12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	14 Offshore Drilling Expansion	
35	Waters	(D)	91	92	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Hahn*	(D)	100		100	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪
36	Harman*	(D)	11	92	80	✗	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪
37	Richardson	(D)	91	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
38	Napolitano	(D)	100	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
39	Sánchez, Linda	(D)	89	79	92	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
40	Royce	(R)	6	4	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
41	Lewis, Jerry	(R)	11	4	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
42	Miller, Gary	(R)	6	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
43	Baca	(D)	74	92	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
44	Calvert	(R)	9	8	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
45	Bono Mack	(R)	11	38	17	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
46	Rohrabacher	(R)	3	4	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
47	Sanchez, Loretta	(D)	83	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	Campbell	(R)	11	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
49	Issa	(R)	9	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
50	Bilbray	(R)	17	25	42	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
51	Filner	(D)	91	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
52	Hunter	(R)	6	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
53	Davis, S.	(D)	97	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

COLORADO

1	DeGette	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Polis	(D)	83	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Tipton	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Gardner	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Lamborn	(R)	9	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coffman	(R)	6	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Perlmutter	(D)	74	83	82	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

* Representative Hahn was sworn in on July 19 following the resignation of Representative Harman on February 28.

	15 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	16 Climate Change Adaptation	17 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	18 Clean Water Protections	19 Flood Insurance	20 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	21 Dirty Water Act	22 Gulf Restoration	23 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	24 Environmental Funding	25 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	26 Endangered Species Act	27 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	28 Assault on the Clean Air Act	29 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	30 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	31 Coal Ash Pollution	32 Hard Rock Mining	33 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	34 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	35 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	?	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2011

% 111th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Land & Water Conservation Fund

2 Holding Government Accountable

3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies

4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests

5 The Chesapeake Bay

6 Florida's Water Quality

7 Clean Water Safeguards

8 National Monuments

9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)

10 Pesticides Pollution

11 Global Warming Pollution

12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms

13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight

14 Offshore Drilling Expansion

CONNECTICUT

1	Larson, J.	(D)	97	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Courtney	(D)	97	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	DeLauro	(D)	97	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Himes	(D)	97	92	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Murphy, C.	(D)	97	96	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

DELAWARE

AL	Carney	(D)	94		94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
----	--------	-----	----	--	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

FLORIDA

1	Miller, J.	(R)	6	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Southerland	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Brown, C.	(D)	91	96	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Crenshaw	(R)	11	29	11	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Nugent	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Stearns	(R)	11	0	16	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Mica	(R)	11	8	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Webster	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Bilirakis	(R)	17	21	21	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
10	Young, C.W.	(R)	23	21	29	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
11	Castor	(D)	97	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Ross, D.	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Buchanan	(R)	20	29	29	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
14	Mack	(R)	6	13	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
15	Posey	(R)	6	13	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Rooney	(R)	11	17	14	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Wilson, F.	(D)	80		80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Ros-Lehtinen	(R)	20	54	41	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
19	Deutch	(D)	89	86	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Wasserman Schultz	(D)	100	92	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Diaz-Balart	(R)	11	38	18	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

15 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation

16 Climate Change Adaptation

17 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution

18 Clean Water Protections

19 Flood Insurance

20 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards

21 Dirty Water Act

22 Gulf Restoration

23 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

24 Environmental Funding

25 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline

26 Endangered Species Act

27 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act

28 Assault on the Clean Air Act

29 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants

30 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers

31 Coal Ash Pollution

32 Hard Rock Mining

33 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species

34 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)

35 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)

✓	..?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	..?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	..?	✓	✓	✓
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---

✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	..?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion	
22	West, A.	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Hastings, A.	(D)	94	83	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Adams	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Rivera	(R)	14		14	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
GEORGIA																				
1	Kingston	(R)	9	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bishop, S.	(D)	54	92	50	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Westmoreland, L.	(R)	9	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Johnson, H.	(D)	94	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lewis, John	(D)	100	88	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Price, T.	(R)	9	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Woodall	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Scott, A.	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Graves, T.	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Broun	(R)	3	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Gingrey	(R)	9	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Barrow	(D)	46	83	62	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Scott, D.	(D)	86	96	76	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HAWAII																				
1	Hanabusa	(D)	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Hirono	(D)	97	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IDAHO																				
1	Labrador	(R)	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Simpson	(R)	14	17	8	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ILLINOIS																				
1	Rush	(D)	94	92	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Jackson, J.	(D)	97	92	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
3	Lipinski	(D)	91	92	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Gutierrez	(D)	94	88	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Quigley	(D)	94	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Climate Change Adaptation	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Clean Water Protections	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Flood Insurance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Dirty Water Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Gulf Restoration	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Environmental Funding	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Endangered Species Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Assault on the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Coal Ash Pollution	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Hard Rock Mining	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2011	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion	
6	Roskam	(R)	9	17	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Davis, D.	(D)	91	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Walsh	(R)	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Schakowsky	(D)	97	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Dold	(R)	34		34	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Kinzinger	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Costello	(D)	57	75	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Biggert	(R)	17	38	30	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Hultgren	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Johnson, Timothy	(R)	34	58	59	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Manzullo	(R)	11	4	9	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
17	Schilling	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Schock	(R)	11	21	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Shimkus	(R)	11	8	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
INDIANA																				
1	Visclosky	(D)	89	92	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Donnelly	(D)	43	75	62	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Stutzman	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Rokita	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Burton	(R)	6	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pence	(R)	6	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Carson	(D)	86	100	91	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Bucshon	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Young, T.	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
IOWA																				
1	Braley	(D)	86	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Loeb sack	(D)	94	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boswell	(D)	66	88	63	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
4	Latham	(R)	11	13	9	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	King, S.	(R)	9	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
	Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	Climate Change Adaptation	Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	Clean Water Protections	Flood Insurance	Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	Dirty Water Act	Gulf Restoration	Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	Environmental Funding	Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	Endangered Species Act	Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	Assault on the Clean Air Act	Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	Coal Ash Pollution	Hard Rock Mining	Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	?	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	X
	?	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion
KANSAS																			
1	Huelskamp	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Jenkins	(R)	6	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yoder	(R)	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Pompeo	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
KENTUCKY																			
1	Whitfield	(R)	17	29	16	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Guthrie	(R)	17	4	12	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yarmuth	(D)	91	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Davis, G.	(R)	9	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Rogers, H.	(R)	9	13	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Chandler	(D)	66	96	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
LOUISIANA																			
1	Scalise	(R)	9	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Richmond	(D)	86		86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Landry, J.	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Fleming	(R)	6	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Alexander, R.	(R)	11	17	11	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Cassidy	(R)	11	29	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Boustany	(R)	11	13	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MAINE																			
1	Pingree	(D)	91	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Michaud	(D)	91	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
MARYLAND																			
1	Harris	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Ruppersberger	(D)	89	83	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Sarbanes	(D)	97	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Edwards	(D)	97	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Hoyer	(D)	97	92	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
	Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	Climate Change Adaptation	Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	Clean Water Protections	Flood Insurance	Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	Dirty Water Act	Gulf Restoration	Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	Environmental Funding	Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	Endangered Species Act	gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	Assault on the Clean Air Act	Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	Coal Ash Pollution	Hard Rock Mining	Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)
	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Land & Water Conservation Fund	2 Holding Government Accountable	3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies	4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	5 The Chesapeake Bay	6 Florida's Water Quality	7 Clean Water Safeguards	8 National Monuments	9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	10 Pesticides Pollution	11 Global Warming Pollution	12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	14 Offshore Drilling Expansion
6	Bartlett	(R)	9	13	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Cummings	(D)	97	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Van Hollen	(D)	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MASSACHUSETTS																			
1	Olver	(D)	89	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Neal	(D)	91	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	McGovern	(D)	97	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Frank, B.	(D)	94	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Tsongas	(D)	91	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
6	Tierney	(D)	97	96	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Markey	(D)	97	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Capuano	(D)	97	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Lynch	(D)	91	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Keating	(D)	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
MICHIGAN																			
1	Benishek	(R)	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Huizenga	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Amash	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Camp	(R)	11	13	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Kildee	(D)	94	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Upton	(R)	17	21	36	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Walberg	(R)	6		4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Rogers, Mike	(R)	14	21	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Peters	(D)	74	100	85	✗	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Miller, C.	(R)	17	29	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	McCotter	(R)	11	25	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Levin, S.	(D)	97	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Clarke, H.	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Conyers	(D)	97	96	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
15	Dingell	(D)	89	92	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Issue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35		
5 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
6 Climate Change Adaptation	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
7 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
8 Clean Water Protections	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
9 Flood Insurance	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
10 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
11 Dirty Water Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
12 Gulf Restoration	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
13 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
14 Environmental Funding	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
15 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
16 Endangered Species Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
17 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
18 Assault on the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
19 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
20 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
21 Coal Ash Pollution	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
22 Hard Rock Mining	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
23 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
24 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
25 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2011	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion
MINNESOTA																			
1	Walz	(D)	83	92	85	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Kline, J.	(R)	9	8	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Paulsen	(R)	29	29	29	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
4	McCollum	(D)	74	100	93	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ellison	(D)	91	92	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Bachmann	(R)	6	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Peterson	(D)	20	79	43	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Cravaack	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MISSISSIPPI																			
1	Nunnelee	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
2	Thompson, B.	(D)	86	100	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Harper	(R)	6	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Palazzo	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MISSOURI																			
1	Clay	(D)	97	92	89	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Akin	(R)	9	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Carnahan	(D)	94	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Hartzler	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cleaver	(D)	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Graves, S.	(R)	9	8	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Long	(R)	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Emerson	(R)	11	8	8	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Luetkemeyer	(R)	6	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MONTANA																			
AL	Rehberg	(R)	9	8	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NEBRASKA																			
1	Fortenberry	(R)	29	33	30	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
2	Terry	(R)	11	13	9	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Smith, Adrian	(R)	6	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2011	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Land & Water Conservation Fund	2 Holding Government Accountable	3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies	4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	5 The Chesapeake Bay	6 Florida's Water Quality	7 Clean Water Safeguards	8 National Monuments	9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	10 Pesticides Pollution	11 Global Warming Pollution	12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	14 Offshore Drilling Expansion	
NEVADA																				
1	Berkley	(D)	94	92	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Amodei*	(R)	0		0	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪
2	Heller*	(R)	0	8	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪
3	Heck	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NEW HAMPSHIRE																				
1	Guinta	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bass, C.	(R)	46		45	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
NEW JERSEY																				
1	Andrews	(D)	94	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	LoBiondo	(R)	54	63	71	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
3	Runyan	(R)	20		20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
4	Smith, C.	(R)	60	67	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
5	Garrett	(R)	9	0	11	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pallone	(D)	97	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Lance	(R)	34	58	44	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
8	Pascrell	(D)	94	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Rothman	(D)	97	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Payne	(D)	94	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	(R)	23	21	48	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✓	✓
12	Holt	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Sires	(D)	89	88	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW MEXICO																				
1	Heinrich	(D)	91	100	95	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Pearce	(R)	6		4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Luján	(D)	97	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW YORK																				
1	Bishop, T.	(D)	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Israel	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	King, P.	(R)	14	25	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representative Amodei was sworn in on September 15 following the appointment of Representative Heller to the U.S. Senate on May 9.

- 15 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation
- 16 Climate Change Adaptation
- 17 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution
- 18 Clean Water Protections
- 19 Flood Insurance
- 20 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards
- 21 Dirty Water Act
- 22 Gulf Restoration
- 23 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy
- 24 Environmental Funding
- 25 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline
- 26 Endangered Species Act
- 27 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act
- 28 Assault on the Clean Air Act
- 29 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants
- 30 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers
- 31 Coal Ash Pollution
- 32 Hard Rock Mining
- 33 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species
- 34 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)
- 35 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)

	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Land & Water Conservation Fund	2 Holding Government Accountable	3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies	4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	5 The Chesapeake Bay	6 Florida's Water Quality	7 Clean Water Safeguards	8 National Monuments	9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	10 Pesticides Pollution	11 Global Warming Pollution	12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	14 Offshore Drilling Expansion
4	McCarthy, C.	(D)	86	96	92	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ackerman	(D)	94	83	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Meeks, G.	(D)	97	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Crowley	(D)	94	100	95	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Nadler	(D)	97	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Turner, B.*	(R)	0		0	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
9	Weiner*	(D)	88	88	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Towns	(D)	97	96	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Clarke, Y.	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Velázquez	(D)	97	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Grimm	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Maloney	(D)	97	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Rangel	(D)	94	100	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Serrano	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Engel	(D)	94	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Lowey	(D)	97	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Hayworth	(R)	43		43	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Gibson, C.	(R)	17		17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
21	Tonko	(D)	97	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Hinchey	(D)	69	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
23	Owens	(D)	63	67	64	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓
24	Hanna	(R)	29		29	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Buerkle	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Hochul**	(D)	90		90	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
27	Higgins	(D)	91	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Slaughter	(D)	89	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Reed, T.	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗

* Representative Turner was sworn in on September 15 following the resignation of Representative Weiner on June 21.

**Representative Hochul was sworn in on June 1 following the resignation of Representative Lee on February 9.

	15 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	16 Climate Change Adaptation	17 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	18 Clean Water Protections	19 Flood Insurance	20 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	21 Dirty Water Act	22 Gulf Restoration	23 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	24 Environmental Funding	25 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	26 Endangered Species Act	27 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	28 Assault on the Clean Air Act	29 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	30 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	31 Coal Ash Pollution	32 Hard Rock Mining	33 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	34 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	35 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	?	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	?
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	?
	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2011

% 111th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Land & Water Conservation Fund

2 Holding Government Accountable

3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies

4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests

5 The Chesapeake Bay

6 Florida's Water Quality

7 Clean Water Safeguards

8 National Monuments

9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)

10 Pesticides Pollution

11 Global Warming Pollution

12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms

13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight

14 Offshore Drilling Expansion

NORTH CAROLINA

1	Butterfield	(D)	94	96	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Ellmers	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Jones	(R)	34	33	24	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
4	Price, D.	(D)	94	100	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Foxx	(R)	3	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coble	(R)	11	0	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	McIntyre	(D)	54	83	59	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
8	Kissell	(D)	66	88	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Myrick	(R)	9	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	McHenry	(R)	6	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Shuler	(D)	71	88	76	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Watt	(D)	97	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Miller, B.	(D)	97	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NORTH DAKOTA

AL	Berg	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
----	------	-----	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

OHIO

1	Chabot	(R)	6		19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Schmidt	(R)	11	13	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Turner, M.	(R)	11	29	12	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Jordan	(R)	6	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Latta	(R)	9	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Johnson, B.	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Austria	(R)	11	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Boehner	(R)	N/A	0	2	THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION.													
9	Kaptur	(D)	89	88	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Kucinich	(D)	94	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Fudge	(D)	97	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Tiberi	(R)	11	25	14	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Sutton	(D)	91	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

- 15 Border Fence Environmental Mitigation
- 16 Climate Change Adaptation
- 17 Offshore Drilling Air Pollution
- 18 Clean Water Protections
- 19 Flood Insurance
- 20 Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards
- 21 Dirty Water Act
- 22 Gulf Restoration
- 23 Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy
- 24 Environmental Funding
- 25 Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline
- 26 Endangered Species Act
- 27 Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act
- 28 Assault on the Clean Air Act
- 29 Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants
- 30 Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers
- 31 Coal Ash Pollution
- 32 Hard Rock Mining
- 33 Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species
- 34 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)
- 35 Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗

THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION.

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion	
14	LaTourette	(R)	23	42	27	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Stivers	(R)	14		14	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Renacci	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Ryan, T.	(D)	97	100	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Gibbs, B.	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OKLAHOMA																				
1	Sullivan	(R)	9	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boren	(D)	23	58	32	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lucas	(R)	9	13	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Cole	(R)	9	17	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Lankford	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OREGON																				
1	Wu*	(D)	96	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walden	(R)	14	29	13	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Blumenauer	(D)	94	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	DeFazio	(D)	89	83	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Schrader	(D)	71	88	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
PENNSYLVANIA																				
1	Brady, R.	(D)	94	100	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Fattah	(D)	94	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kelly	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Altmire	(D)	40	79	64	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Thompson, G.	(R)	11	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Gerlach	(R)	34	38	50	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Meehan	(R)	17		17	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Fitzpatrick	(R)	46		58	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
9	Shuster	(R)	9	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Marino	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Barletta	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Critz	(D)	43	67	46	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representative Wu resigned on August 3.

	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
	Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	Climate Change Adaptation	Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	Clean Water Protections	Flood Insurance	Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	Dirty Water Act	Gulf Restoration	Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	Environmental Funding	Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	Endangered Species Act	Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	Assault on the Clean Air Act	Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	Coal Ash Pollution	Hard Rock Mining	Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)
X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	..?	..?	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
..?	X	X	..?	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	..?	X	..?	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	..?	X	✓	X	X	?	X	X	✓	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	..?	..?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	..?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion	
13	Schwartz	(D)	94	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Doyle	(D)	91	92	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Dent	(R)	23	38	30	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
16	Pitts	(R)	9	4	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Holden	(D)	43	79	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Murphy, T.	(R)	11	21	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Platts	(R)	29	29	34	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
RHODE ISLAND																				
1	Cicilline	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Langevin	(D)	91	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA																				
1	Scott, T.	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Wilson, J.	(R)	6	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
3	Duncan, Jeff	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Gowdy	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Mulvaney	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Clyburn	(D)	91	96	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH DAKOTA																				
AL	Noem	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
TENNESSEE																				
1	Roe	(R)	9	4	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Duncan, John	(R)	6	4	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Fleischmann	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	DesJarlais	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cooper	(D)	89	92	78	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Black, D.	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Blackburn, M.	(R)	9	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Fincher	(R)	9		9	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Cohen	(D)	91	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
	Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	Climate Change Adaptation	Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	Clean Water Protections	Flood Insurance	Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	Dirty Water Act	Gulf Restoration	Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	Environmental Funding	Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	Endangered Species Act	Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	Assault on the Clean Air Act	Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	Coal Ash Pollution	Hard Rock Mining	Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)
	..?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	..?	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	..?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
	✗	✗	..?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	..?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2011

% 111th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Land & Water Conservation Fund

2 Holding Government Accountable

3 Offshore Drilling Subsidies

4 Off-road Vehicles in National Forests

5 The Chesapeake Bay

6 Florida's Water Quality

7 Clean Water Safeguards

8 National Monuments

9 Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)

10 Pesticides Pollution

11 Global Warming Pollution

12 Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms

13 Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight

14 Offshore Drilling Expansion

TEXAS

			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	Gohmert	(R)	3	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Poe	(R)	6	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Johnson, S.	(R)	6	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	
4	Hall, R.	(R)	6	4	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	
5	Hensarling	(R)	6	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Barton	(R)	11	4	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Culberson	(R)	6	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Brady, K.	(R)	6	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Green, A.	(D)	80	100	80	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✗	
10	McCaul	(R)	9	13	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Conaway	(R)	3	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Granger	(R)	11	8	6	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Thornberry	(R)	6	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Paul, Ron	(R)	6	0	24	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	?	✗	?	
15	Hinojosa	(D)	66	79	62	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	
16	Reyes	(D)	80	83	64	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	
17	Flores	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Jackson Lee	(D)	80	92	81	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✗	
19	Neugebauer	(R)	3	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Gonzalez	(D)	77	92	80	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Smith, L.	(R)	9	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Olson	(R)	9	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Canseco	(R)	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Marchant	(R)	6	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Doggett	(D)	97	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Burgess	(R)	6	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
27	Farenthold	(R)	9		9	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
28	Cuellar	(D)	51	88	57	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
29	Green, G.	(D)	69	83	65	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
30	Johnson, E.	(D)	89	96	81	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion	
31	Carter	(R)	9	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
32	Sessions, P.	(R)	6	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
UTAH																				
1	Bishop, R.	(R)	6	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Matheson	(D)	37	75	58	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Chaffetz	(R)	3	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
VERMONT																				
AL	Welch	(D)	97	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
VIRGINIA																				
1	Wittman	(R)	34	29	31	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Rigell	(R)	17		17	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Scott, R.	(D)	100	96	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Forbes	(R)	14	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Hurt	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Goodlatte	(R)	11	8	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
7	Cantor	(R)	11	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
8	Moran, James	(D)	100	96	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Griffith	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Wolf	(R)	40	17	29	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Connolly	(D)	100	96	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WASHINGTON																				
1	Inslee	(D)	100	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Larsen, R.	(D)	91	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Herrera Beutler	(R)	14		14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Hastings, D.	(R)	9	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	
5	McMorris Rodgers	(R)	6	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Dicks	(D)	97	100	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	McDermott	(D)	94	96	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Reichert	(R)	43	67	57	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
9	Smith, Adam	(D)	91	96	91	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	
Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Climate Change Adaptation	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Clean Water Protections	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Flood Insurance	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Dirty Water Act	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Gulf Restoration	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Environmental Funding	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Endangered Species Act	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Assault on the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Coal Ash Pollution	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Hard Rock Mining	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2011	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Land & Water Conservation Fund	Holding Government Accountable	Offshore Drilling Subsidies	Off-road Vehicles in National Forests	The Chesapeake Bay	Florida's Water Quality	Clean Water Safeguards	National Monuments	Sweeping Environmental Funding & Policy Assault (H.R. 1)	Pesticides Pollution	Global Warming Pollution	Offshore Drilling Safety Reforms	Weakening Offshore Drilling Oversight	Offshore Drilling Expansion	
WEST VIRGINIA																				
1	McKinley	(R)	11		11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Capito	(R)	17	33	26	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Rahall	(D)	51	83	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
WISCONSIN																				
1	Ryan, P.	(R)	3	13	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Baldwin	(D)	97	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kind	(D)	91	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Moore	(D)	89	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	(R)	3	4	34	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Petri	(R)	11	29	47	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Duffy	(R)	9		9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Ribble	(R)	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
WYOMING																				
AL	Lummis	(R)	11	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	
Border Fence Environmental Mitigation	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Climate Change Adaptation	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Offshore Drilling Air Pollution	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓
Clean Water Protections																						
Flood Insurance																						
Light Bulb Energy Efficiency Standards																						
Dirty Water Act																						
Gulf Restoration																						
Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy																						
Environmental Funding																						
Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline																						
Endangered Species Act																						
Gutting Public Health Basis of the Clean Air Act																						
Assault on the Clean Air Act																						
Toxic Air Pollution from Cement Plants																						
Toxic Air Pollution from Boilers																						
Coal Ash Pollution																						
Hard Rock Mining																						
Controlling Aquatic Invasive Species																						
Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 3010)																						
Regulatory Rollbacks (H.R. 10)																						

3. HOUSE SCORES



ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY

Please visit www.lcv.org/scorecard to view the *National Environmental Scorecard* electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the statehouse to the White House.

To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit www.lcv.org/donate.


Thank you for being the voice for the environment.

The Facebook logo, consisting of the word "facebook" in white lowercase letters on a blue rectangular background.

www.facebook.com/LCVoters

The Twitter logo, featuring the word "twitter" in a light blue, rounded, lowercase font with a white outline.

www.twitter.com/LCVoters
www.twitter.com/LCVPolicy



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS | www.lcv.org

1920 L STREET, NW, SUITE 800 · WASHINGTON, DC 20036
PHONE: 202.785.8683 · WWW.LCV.ORG

This publication was designed and printed using 100% wind power and was printed on an alcohol-free press with soy-based inks on 100% recycled stock.

